

[Home](http://iopscience.iop.org/) [Search](http://iopscience.iop.org/search) [Collections](http://iopscience.iop.org/collections) [Journals](http://iopscience.iop.org/journals) [About](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/aboutioppublishing) [Contact us](http://iopscience.iop.org/contact) [My IOPscience](http://iopscience.iop.org/myiopscience)

Sodium-promoted oxidation of Al(111) studied by core-level photoemission spectroscopy

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5 4677

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/5/27/012)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/5/27), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984) for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.96 The article was downloaded on 11/05/2010 at 01:29

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

Sodium-promoted oxidation of Al(111) studied by core-level photoemission spectroscopy

C F McConville, **A** B Hayden, J Robinson and D P Woodruff Physics Department, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

Received **7** April 1993

Abstract **The** influence of preadsorbed sodium **on** the early stages of oxidation of **Al(111) has been** investigated using synchrotron radiation photoemission measmments of **the** AI and Na 2p states. There is *a* sbong promotion (by **a** factor of up to 100 **or more)** of **the rate** of oxygen dissociation and formation of the three-dimensional oxide induced by the presence of the sodium.
At the highest Na coverage of 0.33 ML (associated with the Al(111) ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30^o-Na phase), Ihe AI 2p chemically shifted **slates** characteristic of the chemisoplion precursor to oxidation seen **on the** Na-free surface **are no** longer observed. However, *a* **slate of** intermediate chemical **shifl** is seen in the **Na 2p** photoemission **pak,** *al* much lower oxygen **exposures,** and appears to **be a** characteristic of a **new** oxidation precursor.

1. Introduction

The influence of alkali metal adsorbates **on** the reactivity of surfaces is a subject that has received considerable attention in the last few years [1,2]. One reason **for this** is the relevance of the problem to the use of alkali salt promoters and modifiers in heterogeneous catalysis of a number of industrially important reactions. In addition, there has been some interest in the possibility of dry oxidation of semiconductor surfaces through the promotion of the surface oxidation by alkali additives, although the deleterious effect of the alkali metal atoms on the electrical properties of the material, and the difficulty of removing the alkali, may well prevent this interesting phenomenon from being exploited in practice. A small amount of work has also been performed on metal-adsorbate-promoted oxidation of metal surfaces, including the use of rare-earth additives.

A model system which might appear to **be** particularly simple is the effect **of** adsorbed sodium on the oxidation of aluminium. The interaction of aluminium surfaces with oxygen has been studied extensively **[3].** and the oxide films formed on aluminium **are** especially interesting because of their protective influence on the chemical activity and thus the corrosion of this important structural material. Of the three low-Miller-index faces of aluminium, only the (I 11) surface shows clear evidence of a chemisorbed phase **as** a precursor to the formation of a true **(3D)** oxide phase. The chemisorption phase does not have a different long-range periodicity to that *of* the substrate, but appears to saturate at acoverage of 1 ML in a (1 *x* 1) structure. **A** combination of low-energy electron diffraction **(LEED) [7-131,** surface extended x-ray absorption fine structure **(SEWS)** [14-16], and normalincidence standing x-ray wavefield absorption (NrSxw) *[6]* measurements have emerged with a consensus view concerning the structure of this phase, which involves 0 atoms in the **FCC** hollow sites (directly above **AI** atoms in the third layer) at an outermost **0-4** layer spacing of *0.6-0.7* **A.** The structure of the oxide phase that nucleates on the surface is,

however, rather less clear, although it seems that 0 atoms penetrate the surface, possibly leaving overlayer atoms in essentially the same sites **as** in the chemisorbed layer 16,161.

A particularly effective 'fingerprint' of the chemisorption and oxidation states is offered by AI core-level spectroscopy performed with a reasonable degree of surface specificity and spectral resolution [17-19]. In particular, synchrotron radiation photoemission, using photons of approximately 100 eV energy, shows AI 2p emission with a range of different chemical shifts which characterize different states of the oxygen-surface interaction [191. Recent O 1s x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) [20] and scanning tunnelling microscopy **(STM) [21]** investigations have provided confirmation, and further details of, the description of this interaction which was deduced from the A1 2p photoemission data **[19].**

In this paper we present **results** of a study of the oxidation of Al(111) in the presence of adsorbed Na atoms, using the same method of synchrotron radiation corelevel photoemission, in this case from both the AI 2p and the Na Zp **states.** We find clear evidence for a very pronounced enhancement of the rate of production of the surface oxide at the expense of the chemisorption phase, and attempt to identify the mechanism associated with this effect.

2. Experimental details

The experiments were conducted at the Science and Engineering Research Council's **(SERC's)** Daresbury Laboratory, taking light hm Beamline 6.2 of the Synchrotron Radiation Source **(SRS)** which is fitted with **a** Miyake-West grazing-incidence plane grating monochromator [ZZ]. This was operated to provide photons in the energy range 95 eV to 135 eV at a resolution of approximately 150 meV. Photoemission spectra were recorded using a Physical Electronics double-pass cylindrical minor analyser **(CMA)** operated at pass energies of 5 eV and 10 eV. The **CMA** was mounted with its axis in the (horizontal) plane of the electron storage ring, and at 90° to the direction of the incident radiation; the sample was mounted at 45" to each of these directions. The combined energy resolution of the monochromator and analyser (at the lower pass energy) **was** approximately 180 meV.

The Al(111) sample was prepared by the usual combination *of* x-ray Laue orientation, spark machining, mechanical polishing and *in situ* cycles of argon-ion bombardment (around 2 keV for 30 minutes) and annealing (650 K for a similar time). A clean well-ordered surface was produced as judged by *in situ* Auger electron spectroscopy and **LEED.** Sodium was deposited from **a** well outgassed **SAES** getter source mounted approximately **5** cm from the sample. Deposition was effected *at* fixed heating currents *(5-6* A) in the getter for varying periods of exposure to the sample, and the coverage was estimated from the relative AI and Na 2p core-level photoemission signals, taking **as** a calibration point a coverage of 0.33 ML for the phase corresponding to a well-ordered $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ ordered LEED pattern. Oxygen exposures were conducted by introducing oxygen gas into the chamber through a leak valve to pressures in the range 1×10^{-8} to 2×10^{-7} mbar depending on the size of exposure required. All sodium and oxygen exposures were conducted with the sample at room temperature.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Oxidation of Al(111) and Al(111) ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ *R30*°–*Na*

Figure 1 shows a sequence of AI 2p photoemission spectra taken at a photon energy of 100 eV from a clean Al(111) surface following different oxygen exposures. The clean

surface shows the characteristic **AI** 2p spin-orbit split doublet, but the highest oxygen exposure of IO00 L shows a spechum in which the largest feature is a broad peak in which the spin-orbit splitting is no longer resolved, and which is chemically shifted by approximately 2.6 eV relative *to* the metallic (clean surface) **peaks;** this feature has been widely attributed *to* the surface oxide. At intermediate exposures several other peaks having intermediate chemical shifts are observed. Previous measurements have shown that there are actually three intermediate states with chemical shifts of approximately 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 eV [IO]. Since these successive chemical shift differences are very similar to the spin-orbit splitting the $2p_{1/2}$ feature of one state overlaps the $2p_{3/2}$ peak of the next, leading to the impression that there may only be one or two intermediate states present. These three states have all been attributed to the chemisorption phase, and have been associated with surface AI atoms bonded to 1, 2 or 3 O atoms as the (1×1) chemisorption structure builds up [19]. *0* Is *XPS* [20] and **STM** data [21] appear to support this interpretation. We will refer to these three chemically shifted states **as** chemisorption states 1,2 and 3. Note **that** although there is some sequential filling of these states **as** the chemisorption layer accumulates. it is also clear that some oxide nucleates at exposures much smaller than that needed *to* saturate the chemisorption phase. The **xPS** and **STM** results indicate the formation of saturated **(1 x** 1) chemisorption phase islands on the surface rather than random filling of sites.

Figure 1. Core-level photoemission spectra in the region of the Ai 2p *peak.* **recorded using a photon** region of the At 2p peak, recorded using a photon
equency of 100 eV, from Al(111) following different energy of 100 eV, from Al(111) $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30°-Ni
exposures to oxygen. following different exposures to oxygen.

Figure 2. Core-level photaemission spectra *in* he region **of the** *AI* **2p** *peak,* **recorded using a** photon

Contrasting with the clean surface oxidation data of figure I, figure 2 shows a similar sequence of Al 2p spectra taken during the oxidation of an Al(111)($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30°–Na surface. These data appear to show no evidence for the formation of any chemisorbed 0 state, in that there are no intermediate **AI** 2p chemically shifted peaks, and the broad peak associated with the oxide appears at very low exposure. For example, the relative magnitude of the oxide and metallic peaks after 10 L exposure in figure 2 is similar to that seen in figure 1 after 1000 L, indicating an enhancement in the average oxidation rate of about a factor of **100.**

The fact that there is no simple AI-related chemisorption state in this case is not entirely surprising. The 0.33 **ML** Na coverage believed to be associated with this phase corresponds to a saturation of the surface layer, in that increasing the Na coverage forms a (2×2) phase which appears to comprise a double layer of a mixed Al-Na alloy [23,24]. Moreover, **SEXAFS** [25] and NISXW [24, 26] measurements both indicate that the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ phase involves Na substitution of top-layer AI atoms such that each surface AI atom is adjacent to three Na atoms (see figure 3). This means that any simple adsorbed (overlayer) oxygen atom adopting a surface site coordination to three surface metal atoms would have two AI neighbours and one Na neighbour, clearly a very different situation from that of the clean surface. On the other hand, the data of figure 2 indicate that *no* intermediate state exists. but oxidation occurs essentially immediately. Moreover, the data of figure 2 indicate that in the presence of the 0.33 **ML** of surface Na, the aluminium oxidizes well below the surface. It is clear from figure 2 that after 1000 L of oxygen exposure, the total area under the broad oxide peak is considerably greater than that under the metallic state peaks seen in the clean surface spectrum. The spectra are normalized to constant incident photon **flux,** so this effect of a net increase in the total photoemission signal must be attributed to the growth of multilayers of oxide in which the inelastic scattering mean free path is substantially larger than in the metal [27,28]. This signal enhancement is therefore a signature of multilayer oxidation.

Figure 3. Plan and sectional views of a model of the Al(111) $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ –Na structure.

By contrast to this absence of intermediate state in the AI 2p spectra, a similar set of Na 2p photoemission spectra recorded from the Al(111) $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ -Na surface following oxygen exposures does show evidence of an intermediate oxidation state **as seen** in figure 4. For example, the Na 2p spectra taken after 4 or *5* L exposure in figure 4 show that very little intensity remains at the binding energy associated with the original unexposed surface, but the flat top of the spectrum makes it clear that in addition to the fully oxidized state **(see**

the 50 L exposure spectrum) with a chemical shift of approximately 1.9 eV, an intermediate peak is present with a shift of approximately 0.7 eV. Simple curve fitting confirms that at least one intermediate state **is** present in these spectra. Comparison of the spectra of figures **2** and **4** at the same oxygen exposure suggests that the intermediate state seen in the Na 2p spectrum passes through its maximum intensity in the phase prior to the acceleration of the true oxidation, in a similar way (but at much lower exposures) to the behaviour of the chemisorption states in the unpromoted **AI(11 1)** surface spectra of figure **1.** This suggests that a chemisorption precursor may still exist, but the local bonding **(or** at least the local change transfer) is between the oxygen and the surface Na atoms rather than between the oxygen and surface **AI** atoms.

 $\theta_{\text{Na}} = 0.33 \text{ ML}$

Figure 4. Core-level photoemission spectra in the **region of the Na 2p peak, recarded using a photon** energy of 95 eV, from $AI(111)(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ - Na$ **following different exposures to oxygen**

3.2. Oxidation of Al(III) with intermediate coverages of Nu. and general discussion

Some further information on the interaction of the surface Na and 0 on the **AI(** *1* I *1)* surface may be obtained from the results of similar experiments at lower Na coverages. **AI 2p** spectra obtained from similar experiments conducted on oxygen exposure of AI(**11 1)** predosed with coverages of approximately 0.05 **ML** and 0.20 **ML** Na **are** shown in figure *5.* Evidently in these experiments there is some presence of the **AI** chemisorption states seen *in* the unpmmoted surface. This is particularly clear in the case of a 0.05 ML predose of Na figure $5(a)$) in which essentially all the features of the Al/O spectra of figure 1 may be

seen, although after a 0.20 ML predose *of* Na, only the chemisorption state 3 is clearly resolved. Notice, however, that even at the lowest coverage of Na there is significant enhancement of the rate of uptake of oxygen; figure 6 highlights this point by comparing the AI 2p spectra for zero, 0.05.0.20 and 0.33 **ML** Na predose **(taken** fiom figures **1,** *5* and 2) after exposure to **10 L** of oxygen. In the case of the intermediate Na predose levels, there is evidence of **an** enhancement of the uptake in the chemisorption **states as** well **as** in the oxide state. Inspection of the Na 2p spectrum during these same experiments involving oxygen exposure of the 0.05 ML and 0.20 ML Na predosed surfaces reveals spectra very similar to those shown in figure **4.** Figure 7 shows the results *for* the 0.20 **ML** Na predose; the signal-to-noise ratio for the 0.05 **ML** Na predose experiment is very poor (and is not shown here), but it is still clear that there is a region of intermediate oxygen exposure in which the Na **2p** spectrum broadens and flattens due to the coexistence *of* the initial, final and intermediate chemically shifted states. We also find the shape of the Na 2p peak is dependent only on the oxygen exposure, and is independent of the Na coverage (including the 0.05 ML coverage state).

Figure 5. Core-level photoemission spectra in the region of the AI 2p peak, recorded using a **photos energy of 100 eV, from AI(111) predosed with** *(a)* **0.05 ML Na and** *(b)* **0.20 ML Na.** *following* **diffeml exposures to oxygen.**

Figure 6. Comparison of core-level photoemission spectra in the region of the *AI* **2p** peak. rewrded **at a photon energy of 100 eV. hm Al(111) with different pre-expasures of Na followed by a 10 L exposure to oxygen.**

Figure 7. Core-level phofoemission spectra in the region of **the Na 2p peak, rewrded using** *8* **photon energy** *of 95* **eV,** hn **AI(I 1 I) predosed with 0.2 ML** Na, following different exposures to oxygen.

There are two different issues involved in the discussion of mechanisms. Firstly, we would like *to* understand the oxygen dissociation process itself which is clearly strongly promoted by the presence of the surface Na atoms. Secondly, we need to understand the route to the formation of the **30** oxide; in particular, is there *a* chemisorbed precursor and, if so, what is its nature? Core-level photoemission cannot give any direct answers to the first question in that we only study the equilibrium state *of* the surface *affer* the dissociation has taken place. On the other hand, the photoemission does appear *to* give some information *on* the second question in that the Na 2p photoemission does provide evidence for an oxidation precursor, but one in which the oxygen is more strongly interacting with the surface Na atoms than those of the **AI** substrate.

One difficulty in interpreting **the** experiments involving sub-satwation Na predoses, is that we have no explicit information on the spatial distribution of the Na atoms on the surface. In particular, is there islanding of the adsorbates, or not? Generally, we might expect that at low coverages of alkali metal atoms, the large local dipoles at these sites would repel, **so** the atoms would be approximately equally spaced on the **surface. On** the other hand, **LEED** from low coverages of Na on **Al(111)** *at* **low** temperature (100 K) indicate that clustering can occur at low coverage [29]; no similar evidence exists at mom temperature, however, and it does seem that the local adsorption site differs for preparations made at these two temperatures. Even at low coverages, Na atoms substitute top-layer **AI** atoms at room temperature, but this intermixing is kinetically hindered at **100** K. In the absence *of* specific information on *the* Na atom disbibution, however, we can consider the consequences of the various possibilities. Imagine, first the rather simple situation in which the Na atoms on the surface at low coverage form islands of the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ phase (although this is actually contrary *to* the evidence that no such **LEED** pattern is seen). In this case the surface could simply behave like a simple mixture of clean regions and ordered Na phase regions. This picture would account largely for the spectra of figure 6 as far as true oxidation **is** concemed (i.e. the rate *of* production of the AI 2p oxide peak). but would not predict any enhancement of the rate *of* uptake *of* the **AI** chemisorption states on the bare surface. **A** minor addition to this model, including the possible role of the edge of the Na phase islands in 'feeding' dissociated oxygen **atoms** onto the bare **AI** patches, would overcome this problem. **On** the other hand, if we imagine the Na atoms to be more widely dispersed (consistent with the lack of ordered **LEED** structures), then a key question is the apparent *range* of the influence *of* the surface Na atoms. and if the effect is non-local, of the mechanism of promotion. For example, if the effect were to be related to the average surface potential change induced by the adsorbed Na, there may be a critical coverage before any significant effect is seen. This is definitely inconsistent with the data. In fact, the enhancement in the rate of oxygen uptake, at least initially, appears to be approximately proportional to the Na coverage, consistent with a local effect. Moreover, the evidence from the Na 2p data support the idea that initial accommodation of oxygen atoms onto the surface is at the Na sites, and the fact that the rate of filling of the Na 2p chemically shifted states is independent of Na coverage indicates that the oxygen dissociation occurs by direct impact of oxygen atoms from the gas phase on the Na sites, indicating a truly local process. Indeed if we assume that the 'oxidic' chemical shift of the Na **2p** is coupled to the appearance of the **AI 2p** oxidic state (perhaps in a mixed oxide), then it appears that the **Na** sites are probably the nucleation sites **for** the me 3D oxidation of the **AI(11** 1) surface.

Perhaps even more complex than the question of the nature and mechanism of the promotion of the oxidation is the nature *of* the oxide phases themselves. Notice, for example, that the exact chemical shift associated with the **A1** 2p level in the 'oxide' state is not unique, but varies by a few tenths of **an** eV depending on the extent of oxidation, and perhaps on the presence **or** otherwise of the Na. In fact we have noted previously that if the **AI(** 11 **1)** surface interacts with water rather than oxygen, no intermediate chemisorbed oxygen states are seen **in** the A1 2p spectra, and similar shifts to those **seen** here occur in the oxide chemical shift. **In** the case **of** water adsorption, however, a further possible complication is the possible role of OH species. In general the broad core-level photoemission spectra do not really give us sufficient information *to* make a real evaluation of this problem. *On the* other hand, one additional experiment can provide some limited information.

In figure 8 are shown Na 2p spectra recorded after exposing to oxygen a sodium film (deposited on the Al(111) substrate) which is estimated to be 10-20 layers thick. Two important points emerge from these data. Firstly, there **is** no evidence in these spectra **for** a simple single-oxygen chemisorption state of intermediate chemical shift. Rather the spectra appear to comprise two features; the metallic state and an oxide state, although again the broad oxide feature shifts by a few tenths of an eV (notice, too, the large enhancement in total photoemitted signal characteristic of multilayer oxidation and **an** increased mean free path). On the other hand, the chemical shift seen in the oxide state in these spectra is only about **1.5** eV, significantly less than that seen in the sub-monolayer Na film spectra

Multi-layers of Na

Figure 8. Core-level photoemission **spectra** in *the* region of **he Na Zp peak,** recorded **using a** photon enegy **of** *95* **eV. fmm a thick film of Na** (on **Al(111)) following different** exposures to oxygen.

of figures 4 and 7. This certainly suggests that either the Na oxide state on the promoted surface comprises a very thin layer which is strongly coupled to the aluminium oxide, or that the oxide formed really does involve both AI and Na atoms as suggested above.

4. Conclusions

Core-level photoemission measurements of the influence of sub-monolayer coverages of Na on **AN1 11)** on the uptake of oxygen by this surface show a strong promotion of **both** oxygen dissociation and the formation of **3D** surface oxide. AI 2p spectra indicate the loss of intermediate chemically shifted states characteristic of a chemisorbed precursor *to* oxidation; Na 2p spectra, on the other hand, indicate that such a state is still present but the bonding of the surface oxygen is now strongly localized to the Na atoms. It appears that the role of the Na atoms in the promotion is local, **and** the acceleration oxidation appears to be a direct consequence of the more rapid filling of the precursor state by enhanced oxygen dissociation rates. There is some evidence that the final oxide state involves **both** Na and **AI** metal atoms intermixed.

Acknowledgments

The authors are pleased to acknowledge the financial support of the SERC in the form of access to the **SRS** and a studentship for **ABH. VSW** Scientific Instruments **are also thanked** for their support of **ABHs CASE** studentship. The assistance of Daresbtuy Laboratory **staff, and** in particular Dr Frances **Quinn,** is **also** gratefully acknowledged.

References

- **[I]** Bonlel H *P,* Bmdshaw A M *and* **Ed G 1989** *PhySics md Chpmisrry of Ahli Meral Adsorption (Materials Science Monograph* **57)** (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- [21 **King** D A and Woodruff D P (ed) **1993** *Coadsorprion, Promorers and Poisons The Chemical Physicr of Solid Surfaces* 6) (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- **I31** A thomugh review of the literature to **1984** is given in **141;** some of **the** more recent work has becn **summarized** in **[SI** and **[6]**
- **141** Batn **I** P and Kleinmann L **1984** *J. Electron. Spectrosc. 33* **175**
- **[SI** Stohr **J 1988** *X-my Absorprion. Principles, Technipnes,* Applications *of EXAFS. SMAFS andXANES* **ed** R Prins and D C Koningsberger (New York: Wiley) p 443
- **[61** Kerkar M. Fisher **D. Woodruff** D *P* and Cowie **B 1992 Suif** *Sci.* **271 45**
- **[7]** Payling R and Ramsey I A **1980** *J. Phyr.* **C:** *Solid Srare Phys. 13* **⁵⁰⁵**
- **[81 Yu H L,** Muiioz M **C** and **Soria** F **1980** *Surf. Sci.* **94 LIS4**
- **191** Martimon C W **B. Flcdsmim** *S* A. Rundgren J and Wesuin P **1979** *Surf. Sri.* **89 102**
- [IO] Iona F and Marcus P **M 1980** *1. Phys.* **C:** *SolidSrate Phys.* **U** *L4n*
- [I **I] Soria F,** Madnez V, Muaoz M **C** and Saced6n J L **1981** *Phys. Rev.* **B** *24 6926*
- **[I21** Madnez V. **Soria** F. **Muaoa** M **C** and Saced6n J **L 1983** *Surf. Sci.* **128 424**
- **[I31** Neve **J.** Rundgreen J and Westrin P **1982** *J. Phys.* **C:** *Solid Sfore Phys.* **IS 4391**
- **[I41** Johansson **L I** and **Stshr J 1979** *Phys. Rev. Len.* **43 1887.**
- **[15] Stöhr J, Johansson L 1. Brennan S, Hecht M and Miller J N 1980** *Phys. Rev.* **B 22 4052**
- **[16]** Norman D, Brennan S, Jaeger R and Stöhr J 1981 *Surf. Sci.* **105 L297**
- **(171 Flcdsvom S** A, Martinsson **C W B.** Bachrach *R* **Z Hagsmim** *S* **B** M and **Bauer** R *S* **1978** *Phys. Rev. Len.* **40 907**
- **[18] Bachrach R Z, Hansson G V and Bauer R S 1981 Surf. Sci. 109 L560 [19]** McConville C F, Seymour D L, Woodruff D P and Bao S 1987 Surf. 2
- **[I91** McConville **C F,** Seymour D L, **Woodruff D** P and **Bao S 1987** *Surf. Sci.* **188 ¹**
- **I201** Bagus P *S.* Brundie C R. **Illas** F, Parmigiani F and Polmnmi G **1991** *Phys. Rev.* **B 44 9025**
- **[211** Winterlin **J,** Brune **H.** Hiifer H and **Behm** R J **1988** *Appl, Phys.* A **47** 99
- **[U]** Howells M R. Norman **D.** Williams *G* P and West I B **1978** *J, Phys. E: Sci. Insrrum.* **11** *¹⁹⁹*
- **(231** Andersen **J N.** Qvarford **M.** Nyholm **R. van** Acker I **F** and Lundgren **E 1992** *Phys. Rev. Len. 65* **94**
- **[XI** Kerkar M, Fisher D. W~NK D P, Jones R *G.* DieN R D and Cowie **B 1992** *Surf. Sci.* **278 246**
- **L251** Schmalz A. Aminpirooz *S.* Beck **L Haase 1.** Neugebauer **1,** Schefler M. Batchelor D R. Adams D **L** and Bogh **E 1991** *Phys. Rev. Len* **67 2163**
- $[26]$ Kerkar M, Fisher D, Woodruff D P, Jones R G, Diehl R D and Cowie B 1992 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 68 3204
- **[27]** Norman **D** and Woodruff D P **1978** *1. Vac. Sri. Technol.* **15 1580**
- **1281** Norman D and Woodruff D P **1978** *Surf. Sci.* **75 179**
- **[291** Andersen **J N.** Lundgren E. Nyholm R and Qvarford M **1993** *surf^ Sa..* **281 83**